SINGLE BENCH

COURT FEE 20 Rs. भारत INDIA बीस रुपये TWENTY RUPEES

COURT FEE 20 Rs. भारत INDIA बीस रुपये TWENTY RUPEES

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,CHHATISGARH

{ Original Writ Jurisdictyion }

Writ Petition No.: 5559 /2005

IN THE MATTERS BETWEEN :

1. **Smt. S.R.Bajpai,** D/O H.N.Sukla, Aged about 50 years, Employed as Hd. Clerk Under Sr. D.P.O., S.E.C.Railway, Bilaspur and R/O Telipara, Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh.

2..**Smt. V.L.Choudhary** D/O Late P.K.Shree Raman, age about 46 years, Employed as Hd Clerk,Sr D.P.O.Office.S.E.C.Railway/Bilaspur(CG).

3. **S.K.Das,** S/O Bishwanath Das, age 59 years, Hd.Clerk under Sr. D.P.O./ S.E.C.Railway, Bilaspur, R/O Railway Quarters No.- 993,N.E.Colony, Bilaspur{CG}.

P.R. No. 5521/05 Presented by Adv. V.K. Sharma dated 21-10-05

4. **M.A.Khan,** S/O Late Md. Asgar Khan, Age 50 years, Employed as Hd. Clerk, Under Sr.D.P.O.,S.E.C.R,Bilaspur,R/O Near M.H.S. School, Rajendra Nagar, Bilaspur {C.G.}.

5. **S.K.Chiman lal** S/O Chiman lal,age 48 years,Hd. Clerk under Sr. D.P.O./ S.E.C.R/Bilaspur R/O Indira Colony,

6. **M.L.Rathore** S/) Late Sewak Ram Rathore, age 59 years,Hd. Clerk under Sr. D.P.O./Bilaspur,R/O Nayapara/ Jhopdapara, near Santoshi Mandir, Bilaspur ---------- PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. Union of India, through the General Manager, SECRailway, GM Office Railway Settlement/Bilaspur (C.G.)

2. Divisional Railway Managewr, South East Central Railway,DRM Office Railway Settlement,Bilaspur (CG).

3. Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, SECRailway,DRM Office,Railway Settlement,Bilaspur(CG). ---------- **RESPONDENTS**

11829

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(39)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 5559/2005

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित.	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-12-2005 Shri Ramakant Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Amit Choudhari, Standing Counsel for respondents. Heard. Counsel for the respondents pointed out that that in the present matter, remedy of approaching the Central Administrative Tribunal is available to the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the petition with liberty to file petition before the Central Administrative Tribunal. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	